Effects of shoreline armoring & overwater structures on fish ecology in Puget Sound

Stuart Munsch^{1,2}, Jeff Cordell¹, Jason Toft¹ 1. UW SAFS 2. NMFS/OA

Photos: Travish Campbell & u/LK1590

PhD work w/Wetland Ecosystem Team



Stu Munsch



Jeff Cordell



Jason Toft

School of Aquatic & Fishery Sciences University of Washington

Puget Sound











Puget Sound, Armoring, & Overwater Structures



- 1/3 of Puget Sound's shorelines are armored¹
- Overwater structures are common

1. Simenstad et al. 2011

Fish in Puget Sound occur and feed along highly modified shorelines



- Fish are trying to use modified habitats
 - Juvenile salmon are forced to use modified habitats along migratory routes
- How do shoreline armoring and overwater structures affect the function of nearshore fish habitats?

Toft, Cordell, Simenstad, Stamatiou 2007 NAJFM

Focal species: Pacific salmon



Research & management opportunity: Reconstruction of a highly modified shoreline 1934 2014



- 2001 Nisqually Earthquake damaged the Elliott Bay seawall
- Waterfront needed reconstruction
 - Do armoring and overwater structures impair fish habitat?
 - Can we improve habitat along a highly modified waterfront?
- Elliott Bay has become a focal system for research examining effects of shoreline modifications on fish ecology

Elliott Bay (WA)

- 21 km² estuary bordering Seattle
 - Historical shores were beaches and mudflats
 - Presently 99% armored shoreline
- Economic center (restoration impractical & undesirable)
 - Commerce
 - Tourism
 - Recreation
 - Transportation
- Fish and crab habitat
 - Juvenile Chinook, chum, pink salmon are most abundant species
 - Chinook salmon listed as threatened under ESA
 - Species of cultural, ecological, and economic interest

An experimental system









Can compare habitat use among sites with different modifications

Beach

Seawall

Seawall

Beach

Seawall

Beach



Data collection





- Netting
- Snorkel observations
- Scuba observations
- Plankton sampling
- Diet sampling
- Egg monitoring





- Quantitative & empirical
- Observed hundreds of thousands of fish over about a decade

Fish & crab assemblages differ between armored an unarmored shorelines



Presence of fish that select for sandy or rocky substrates is determined by shoreline structure³

- 1. Toft, Cordell, Simenstad, Stamatiou 2007 NAJFM (Snorkel surveys)
- 2. Morley, Toft, Hanson 2012 Estuaries & Coasts (Netting)
- 3. Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2015 Ecological Engineering (Scuba surveys)

Shoreline armoring that eliminates shallows prevents ontogenetic habitat shifts

| Habitat s | Shift |
|-----------|-------|
| | |



- Juvenile salmon transition from extreme shallows to deeper waters as they grow
 - probably to balance safety of shallows with maximizing habitat use
- Armoring creates deep waters near shore inhabited by larger salmon
- 1. Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2016 Marine Ecology Progress Series

Shoreline armoring exposes salmon to predators





- Large predatory fish (lingcod) occupy deep rocky waters created by armoring¹
- Small fish probably occupy inappropriately deep habitats along armored shorelines²
- 1. Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2015 *Ecological Engineering*
- 2. Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2016 Marine Ecology Progress Series

Juvenile salmon eat less epibenthic and terrestrial prey along armored shorelines



- 1. Toft, Cordell, Simenstad, Stamatiou 2007 North American Journal of Fisheries Management
- 2. Morley, Toft, Hanson 2012 Estuaries & Coasts
- 3. Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2015 Marine Ecology Progress Series



Light intensity, air temperature, substrate temperature, and air dryness higher along an armored shoreline compared to vegetated unarmored shoreline

1. Rice 2006 Estuaries & Coasts

Most fish, especially juvenile salmon, avoid shaded areas created by large piers



Salmon rarely feed under piers



Salmon food is less abundant under piers









Summary

- Armoring can
 - Alter species composition
 - Prevent ontogenetic habitat shifts
 - Small fish can't access protective shallows
 - Expose small fish to predators
 - Prevent consumption of preferred prey
 - Lower egg survival
- Overwater structures can
 - Reduce fish abundance
 - May reduce localized habitat connectivity
 - Interfere with movements of migratory fish
 - May delay seaward migrations of juvenile salmon
 - Prevent fish from feeding
 - Reduce prey abundance

Can we repair lost habitat functions?







Built beaches provide better habitat than armored shorelines



Replacing an urban armored shoreline with a beach described in Toft et al. 2013 *Ecological Engineering*

Built beaches provide better habitat than armored shorelines



Built intertidal zones ("habitat benches") enhance habitats along modified waterfronts



Adding a habitat bench in front of shoreline infrastructure described in Toft et al. 2013 *Ecological Engineering*

Texturing may increase prey availability along seawalls



- Goff 2010 UW SAFS Thesis
- Cordell, Toft, Munsch, Goff 2017
 In: Living Shorelines: The Science and Management of Nature-based Coastal Protection

Pilot study: Can light penetrating surfaces increase fish presence under piers?



Pilot study: Can light penetrating surfaces increase fish presence under piers?



Metal Grating











Solar Tube





Light penetrating surfaces may provide migration corridors, improve fish feeding abilities, & produce more prey along seawall



Corridor of light penetrating surfaces installed along Elliott Bay







RESEARCH



State of the science

Where is high tide?

If may not local like it holds but 150 years ago downhown both a showing holds boards like onthe holds have a wateringer. Sound waterings, black, and must be holds have a wateringer assert able to be home. And the mass like home provide of the assert able the works. Seathing previous generations but down assert able to be writed. Seathing previous generations but down and the works. Seathing previous generations but down and the works. Seathing previous generations but down and the showing but down to work it down growing other. A what is varied as and and and an and the most in more difficult to becative years more put doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to an and the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the state of the showing but doe how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how more does not be the showing but to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how mode it more difficult to the showing but to be how more to be how to be how to be how the showing but to be how to be how the showing but to be how to be ho

Who's afraid of the dark?

er are hard to find along the waterfort. Ples obver if of the incentine, and the distress benediti the tweet he juvenile sillhon. The juveniles alow down y reach a give to sillhon the even to digit? to the which takes almost 20 minutes! Other, the salmon

 and the moves them vulnerable to the Indeep wohet

Control gran these orch Bloogay, Wettands Ecceystern Team

The Association



For story for the story of the

Enhancing the habitat beyond the shoreline hage non-trees within our registration days. Converting the safet

ne.

HABITAT RESEARCH

GCity of Seattle

8

For many hallofur internation, accel Res-Millocode

Improving habitat within human-use constrains



Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2017. Journal of Applied Ecology

Big picture

- Many nearshore waters provide critical fish habitat
- Shorelines are modified worldwide
- Effects of shoreline modifications on fish occur outside of Puget Sound
- Shoreline infrastructure will be increasingly common as sea levels rise
- Improving fish habitat aligns with many societal goals
 - Flood protection
 - Carbon sequestration
 - Recreation & interacting with "nature," particularly in urban settings
 - Education
 - Organized events (e.g., field trips)
 - Kiosks
- Efforts to improve fish habitat along urban shorelines are unprecedented
 - Monitoring efforts in Elliott Bay can guide management of developed waterfronts worldwide

Acknowledgements

- Funding:
 - NSF GRFP
 - Seattle Department of Transportation
- Advice: Charles "Si" Simenstad
- Overarching reference:
 - Munsch, Cordell, Toft. 2017. Effects of shoreline armouring and overwater structures on coastal and estuarine fish: Opportunities for habitat improvement. *Journal of Applied Ecology*

References

- Cordell JR, Toft JD, Munsch SH, Goff M. 2017. Benches, beaches, & bumps: how habitat monitoring & experimental science can inform urban seawall design. In eds. Bilkovic DM, Mitchell MR, Toft JD, La Peyre MK, Living Shorelines: The Science & Management of Nature-based Coastal Protection
- Munsch SM, Cordell JR, Toft. 2017. In revisions. Effects of shoreline armoring and overwater structures on coastal and estuarine fish: Opportunities for habitat improvement *Journal of Applied Ecology*
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD. 2016. Fine-scale habitat use & behavior of a nearshore fish community: habitat partitioning, nursery functions, & avoiding predation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 557: 1-15.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD. 2015. Effects of seawall armoring on juvenile Pacific salmon diets in an urban estuarine embayment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 535: 213-229.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD. 2015. Effects of shoreline engineering on shallow subtidal fish & crab communities in an urban estuary: a comparison of armored shorelines & nourished beaches. *Ecological Engineering* 81: 312-320.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD, Morgan EE. 2014. Effects of seawalls & piers on fish assemblages & juvenile salmon feeding behavior. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 34: 814-827.
- Ono K, Simenstad CA. 2014. Reducing the effect of overwater structures on migrating juvenile salmon: An experiment with light. *Ecological Engineering* 71: 180-189
- Rice CA. 2006. Effects of shorelien modification on a Northern Puget Sound beach: Microclimate and embryo mortality in surf smelt (*Hypomesus pretiosus*). *Estuaries and Coasts* 29: 63-71
- Toft, JD, Ogston AS, Heerhartz SM, Cordell JR, & Flemer EE. 2013. Ecological response and physical stability of habitat enhancements along an urban armored shoreline. *Ecological Engineering*, *57*, 97-108.
- Toft, J. D., Cordell, J. R., Simenstad, C. A., & Stamatiou, L. A. 2007. Fish distribution, abundance, and behavior along city shoreline types in Puget Sound. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, *27*(2), 465-480.

Small chum salmon switch to alternative prey along armored shorelines



Are they feeding on prey that takes more effort to find, lower in energy content, or more evasive?

Munsch, Cordell, Toft 2015 MEPS

Salmon aggregate next to piers



1. Munsch, Cordell, Toft, Morgan 2014 NAJFM

References

- Cordell et al. 2017. In: Living Shorelines: The Science & Management of Nature-based Coastal Protection
- Munsch et al. 2017. In revisions. *Journal of Applied Ecology*
- Munsch et al. 2016 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 557: 1-15.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD. 2015. Effects of seawall armoring on juvenile Pacific salmon diets in an urban estuarine embayment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 535: 213-229.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD. 2015. Effects of shoreline engineering on shallow subtidal fish & crab communities in an urban estuary: a comparison of armored shorelines & nourished beaches. *Ecological Engineering* 81: 312-320.
- Munsch SH, Cordell JR, Toft JD, Morgan EE. 2014. Effects of seawalls & piers on fish assemblages & juvenile salmon feeding behavior. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 34: 814-827.
- Ono K, Simenstad CA. 2014. Reducing the effect of overwater structures on migrating juvenile salmon: An experiment with light. *Ecological Engineering* 71: 180-189
- Rice CA. 2006. Effects of shorelien modification on a Northern Puget Sound beach: Microclimate and embryo mortality in surf smelt (*Hypomesus pretiosus*). *Estuaries and Coasts* 29: 63-71
- Toft, JD, Ogston AS, Heerhartz SM, Cordell JR, & Flemer EE. 2013. Ecological response and physical stability of habitat enhancements along an urban armored shoreline. *Ecological Engineering*, *57*, 97-108.
- Toft, J. D., Cordell, J. R., Simenstad, C. A., & Stamatiou, L. A. 2007. Fish distribution, abundance, and behavior along city shoreline types in Puget Sound. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, *27*(2), 465-480.

Built intertidal zones and seawall texturing enhance habitats along modified waterfronts



Built intertidal zones and seawall texturing enhance habitats along modified waterfronts



Built intertidal zones ("habitat benches") enhance habitats along modified waterfronts



Primary method: snorkel surveys



- 817 surveys
- 2003 2013
- Spring & summer
- Recorded:
 - Water depth
 - Species
 - Fish length
 - Group size
 - Behavior
 - Water column position of fish (thirds)
 - Fish depth estimated from water depth & water column position



Primary method: snorkel surveys



- 817 surveys
- 2003 2013
- Spring & summer
- Recorded:
 - Water depth
 - Species
 - Fish length
 - Group size
 - Behavior
 - Water column position of fish (thirds)
 - Fish depth estimated from water depth & water column position











